UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/580,887 | 11/08/2006 | Shogo Imamura | F331 | 8842 |
| 34440 COLLEN IP | 7590 04/02/200 | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| THE HOLYOK | E MANHATTAN BU | ILDING | NGUYEN, CHAU N | |
| | 80 SOUTH HIGHLAND AVENUE OSSINING, NY 10562 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2831 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/02/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/580,887 | IMAMURA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Chau N. Nguyen | 2831 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | |
| <u> </u> | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine and accomposed and the second | epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | es have been received. es have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate |

Application/Control Number: 10/580,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (3,643,007).

Roberts et al. (Figure 1) discloses a coaxial cable comprising a dielectric layer formed around a center conductor, an outer conductor layer formed around the dielectric layer, and a sheath formed around the outer conductor layer, wherein an additional layer consisting of a metal foil (3, col. 5, lines 39-47) for providing enhanced shield effect and shape maintainability is longitudinally arranged around the dielectric layer between the dielectric layer and the outer conductor layer, and the width of the metal foil being larger than the outer circumference of the dielectric layer. Roberts et al. also discloses the outer conductor layer being braided.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,887 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Roberts et al. discloses the metal foil having a thickness of less 0.006 inches and a width larger than the outer circumference of the dielectric layer but does not explicitly disclose the thickness being 1% to 5% of the outer diameter of the dielectric layer and the width being about 1.1 to 1.9 times the outer circumference of the dielectric layer. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to choose suitable thickness and width of the metal foil of Roberts et al. to meet the specific use of the resulting cable since thicker foil would further protect the center conductor while thinner foil would improve the cable flexibility, and the foil with a large width would provide a larger overlapped portion of the shield to further secure the foil onto the dielectric layer. In addition, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Application/Control Number: 10/580,887 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutiérrez can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,887

Art Unit: 2831

/Chau N Nguyen/

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2831 Page 5